COMBINED DECLARATION & POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: PREDICTIVE FAILURE ANALYSIS AND FAILURE ISOLATION USING CURRENT SENSING.

	as United States	Application Serial No.	or PCT	Internation	al Application N	umber
I hereby state that I have reviewe amended by any amendment refe	d and understand		ove-ide	entified spec	ification, includi	ng the claims, a
I acknowledge the duty to disclose Regulations, § 1.56 (attached her available between the filing date opart application.	eto), including for	r continuation-in-part a	plication	ons, materia	al information wh	nich became
I hereby claim foreign priority ben patent or inventor's certificate, or United States, listed below and ha filling date before that of the applic	any PCT Internat ave also identified	tional application which d below any foreign ap	design dication	ated at leas	at one country of	her than the
a. no such applications have leb. such applications have been		:				
PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION		FOREIGN FILING DATE		RIORITY	CERTIFIED COPY	ATTACHED?
1	COUNTRY	1				
NUMBER(S)	COUNTRY	(Day, Month, Year)		CLAIMED	YES	NO I
1	itle 35, United St sofar as the subje the manner provi material informa	(Day, Month, Year) tates Code, § 120 or § ect matter of each of th ided by the first paragration as defined in Title	365 of a e claim uph of 1	any United S s of this app itle 35, United S	States and PCT plication is not dited States Code at Regulations, §	international isclosed in the , § 112, I ; 1.56(a) which
I hereby claim the benefit under Tapplication(s) listed below and, in prior United States application in acknowledge the duty to disclose	itle 35, United St sofar as the subje the manner provi material informat of the prior applic	(Day, Month, Year) tates Code, § 120 or § ect matter of each of th ided by the first paragration as defined in Title	365 of a e claim uph of 137, Coor PCT	any United S s of this app itle 35, United S de of Federalinternationa	States and PCT plication is not dited States Code at Regulations, §	international isclosed in the , § 112, I 1.56(a) which his application.
I hereby claim the benefit under Tapplication(s) listed below and, in prior United States application in acknowledge the duty to disclose occurred between the filing date of	itle 35, United St sofar as the subje the manner provi material informat of the prior applic	(Day, Month, Year) tates Code, § 120 or § ect matter of each of th ided by the first paragration as defined in Title eation and the national of	365 of a e claim uph of 137, Coor PCT	any United S s of this app itle 35, United S de of Federalinternationa	States and PCT plication is not dited States Code al Regulations, §	international isclosed in the , § 112, I 1.56(a) which his application.
I hereby claim the benefit under Tapplication(s) listed below and, in prior United States application in acknowledge the duty to disclose occurred between the filing date of	Title 35, United Standard as the subject the manner proving material information of the prior application.	tates Code, § 120 or § ect matter of each of thided by the first paragration as defined in Title eation and the national of FFILING (Day, Month, Year)	365 of a e claim uph of 137, Coor PCT	any United S s of this app itle 35, Unit de of Federa internationa	YES States and PCT plication is not died States Code al Regulations, § il filing date of the state of the st	international isclosed in the , § 112, I ; 1.56(a) which his application.

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Jean Barkley	Reg. No. 39,541	Douglas R. Millett	Reg. No. 31,784
Keith Bates	Reg. No. 50,276	Lewis L. Nunnelley	Reg. No. 42,942
Randall J. Bluestone	Reg. No. 40,518	Abdy Raissinia	Reg. No. 38,686
Dale Crockatt	Reg. No. 35,109	Paik Saber	Reg. No. 37,494
Ron Feece	Reg. No. 46,327	Stanley B. Green	Reg. No. 24,351
William D. Gill	Reg. No. 44,124	Christopher A. Hughes	Reg. No. 26,914
Robert B. Martin	Reg. No. 26,945	Joseph C. Redmond, Jr.	Reg. No. 18,753
Ido Tuchman	Reg. No. 45.924	Coopii C. Rodinoro, or.	1109.110.10,100

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Ido Tuchman at the address and phone numbers indicated below:

Ido Tuchman 69-60 108th Street, Suite 503 Forest Hills, NY 11375 Tel. 718.544.1110 Fax 718.544.8588

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

First/Sole Inventor's Full Name:	David F. HEPNER
Inventor's Signature:	Gas F. Hen
Date:	8/8/03
Residence: (City, State and/or Country)	3516 Cedardále Drive San Jose, California 95148
Citizenship:	USA
Mailing Address:	Same

Second Inventor's Full Name:	Andrew DAWALLS
Inventor's Signature:	Indreus to Malls
Date:	8/8/03
Residence: (City, State and/or Country)	7219 Via Bella San Jose, California 95139
Citizenship:	USA
Mailing Address:	Same

§ 1.56 Duty to disclos inf rmation mat rial to patentability.

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.